

## FIELDS OF FRACTIONS FOR GROUP ALGEBRAS OF FREE GROUPS

BY  
JACQUES LEWIN<sup>(1)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $KF$  be the group algebra over the commutative field  $K$  of the free group  $F$ . It is proved that the field generated by  $KF$  in any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding for  $KF$  is the universal field of fractions  $U(KF)$  of  $KF$ . Some consequences are noted. An example is constructed of an embedding  $KF \subset D$  into a field  $D$  with  $D \neq U(KF)$ . It is also proved that the generalized free product of two free groups can be embedded in a field.

**I. Introduction.** P. M. Cohn has recently shown [3, Chapter 7] that if  $R$  is a semifir then there is an embedding of  $R$  in a (not necessarily commutative) field  $U(R)$  which is universal in the sense that if  $R \subset D$  is another embedding of  $R$  in a field then there is a specialization of  $U(R)$  onto  $D$  which extends the identity map of  $R$ . In particular, free associative algebras and free group algebras have universal fields of fractions.

Let now  $F$  be a free group and  $K$  a commutative field. I. Hughes [5] singles out a class of "free" embeddings (see definition below, §II) of  $KF$  into fields and shows that any two free embeddings which are both generated (qua fields) by  $KF$  are  $KF$ -isomorphic. This makes it plausible that  $U(KF)$  is a free embedding and we show that this is indeed the case. Oddly enough this is not proved by verifying directly the freedom property of  $U(KF)$ , but by first proving a subgroup theorem: If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $F$ , then  $KG$  generates, in  $U(KF)$ , its universal field  $U(KG)$ .

The significance of our theorem is that it shows that  $U(KF)$  is in fact the field generated by  $KF$  in any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of  $KF$  [10]. If  $R$  is a free  $K$ -algebra on the generators of  $F$ , then it is easily seen that  $U(R) = U(KF)$ . Thus we have both  $U(R)$  and  $U(KF)$  represented in power series over  $F$ . This has several interesting consequences:  $U(F)$  can be ordered; the center of  $U(F)$  is  $K$  (if  $F$  is not commutative); there is a homomorphism of the multiplicative group  $U(F)^*$  onto the free group  $F$ . ( $F$  is actually a retract of  $U(F)^*$ .)

Going back to groups, we show that any generalized free product  $G$  of two free groups can be embedded in a field. However, using an example of M. Dunwoody [4], we show that there need not exist a fully inverting (definition below) embedding for  $G$ , even if the amalgamated subgroup is cyclic.

Hughes [5] asks whether there exists a nonfree embedding of the free group algebra  $KF$ . We close by exhibiting such an embedding.

---

Received by the editors December 11, 1972.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 16A26, 20E05, 16A06.

*Key words and phrases.* Free algebras, free group algebras, universal fields of fractions, generalized free products of free groups.

(1) This work was supported by NSF grant GP 33050.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

II.  $U(KF)$  is **Hughes-free**. If  $R$  is a semifir, we denote by  $U(R)$  the universal field of fractions of  $R$ .  $R \subseteq U(R)$  is fully inverting: every full  $R$ -matrix inverts over  $U(R)$ . Every element  $u_1$  of  $U(R)$  is rational over  $R$ , i.e.  $u_1$  is the first component of a solution  $\mathbf{u}$  of a matrix equation  $A\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a} = 0$  where  $A$  is a full  $n \times n$   $R$ -matrix and  $\mathbf{a} \in R^n$ . (Recall that  $A$  is full if  $A$  is not a product of two matrices of smaller size.) If  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ , the inclusion  $S \rightarrow R$  is honest if every full  $S$ -matrix is still full as an  $R$ -matrix.

If  $h: R \rightarrow D$  is a homomorphism into a field  $D$ , then there is universal specialization  $p: U(R) \rightarrow D$  which extends  $h$ . The domain of  $p$  consists of the set of entries of inverses of those  $R$ -matrices whose image is invertible over  $D$ .

Details and proofs may be found in Cohn [3, Chapter 7].

In particular, if  $F$  is a free group and  $K$  a commutative field, then  $KF$  is a semifir so the above results apply. We write  $U(F)$  for  $U(KF)$ .

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $F$  and  $KF$  is embedded in a field  $D$ , we denote by  $\text{Div}_D(H)$  the smallest subfield of  $D$  which contains  $H$  and  $K$ . Note that  $\text{Div}_D(H)$  is rational over  $H$ .

Our aim is to show that for any subgroup  $G$  of a free group  $F$ ,  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(G) = U(G)$ .

The universal specialization from  $U(G)$  into  $U(F)$  will be a monomorphism  $U(G) \rightarrow U(F)$  provided the inclusion  $KF \rightarrow KG$  is honest. It is this that we shall prove. We first deal with a special case.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $F$  be a free group and  $G$  a normal subgroup of finite index in  $F$ . Then the inclusion  $KG \rightarrow KF$  is honest.*

**Proof.** Let  $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  be a set of coset representatives for  $G$  in  $F$ . Then  $KF = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (KG)s_i$ . Right multiplication by an element of  $KF$  is a left  $KF$ , and hence a left  $KG$ , module homomorphism. Thus we have a faithful representation  $\varphi: KF \rightarrow (KG)_n$ , the  $n \times n$  matrices over  $KG$ .

If  $v \in KG$ , then  $s_i v = s_i v s_i^{-1} \cdot s_i = v^{s_i} \cdot s_i$ . Since  $G$  is normal in  $F$ ,  $v^{s_i} \in KG$ . Thus  $v\varphi$  is the diagonal matrix

$$(1) \quad v\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} v & & & 0 \\ & v^{s_1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & v^{s_n} \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the obvious way, we extend  $\varphi$  to matrices over  $KF$ . Let now  $M$  be a  $KG$ -matrix which is full over  $KG$ . Since conjugation by  $s_i$  is an automorphism of  $KG$ ,  $M^{s_i}$  is also full over  $KG$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .  $KG$  is again a free group algebra, so  $KG$  is a fir. It follows [3, Theorem 6.4, p. 282] that the diagonal sum  $N = M \dot{+} M^{s_1} \dot{+} \dots$

$\dagger M^{s_n}$  is full. But, by (1),  $M\varphi$  is similar, via a permutation matrix, to  $N$ . So  $M$ , as a  $KF$ -matrix, maps under  $\varphi$  to a full matrix. So  $M$  is full over  $KF$  and the lemma is proved.

The next step is to drop the normality assumption on  $G$ . We first need an easy lemma.

If  $S$  is a subset of a  $K$ -algebra, denote by  $K\langle S \rangle$  the subalgebra it generates.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a normal subgroup of finite index in the group  $F$ , and suppose  $KF$  is embedded in a field  $D$ . Then  $\text{Div}_D(F)$  has finite dimension as a left  $\text{Div}_D(G)$  vector space. Further  $\text{Div}_D(F) = K\langle \text{Div}_D(G), F \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $s_1 = 1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  be a set of coset representatives for  $G$  in  $F$ , and consider  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Div}_D(G)s_i$ . Now  $s_i$  induces, by conjugation, an automorphism of  $\text{Div}_D(F)$  which leaves  $G$ , and hence  $\text{Div}_D(G)$ , invariant. Thus for  $d \in \text{Div}_D(G)$ ,  $d^{s_i} \in \text{Div}_D(G)$ . Thus since  $s_i d = d^{s_i} s_i$  and  $s_i s_j = g_{ij} s_k$  for some  $k$  and some  $g_{ij} \in G$ ,  $A$  is a  $K$ -algebra. Since  $A$  has finite left  $\text{Div}_D(G)$  dimension, and  $A$  is an integral domain,  $A$  is a field. Since  $A$  contains  $F$ ,  $A = \text{Div}_D(F)$ . Clearly  $A$  is generated by  $\text{Div}_D(G)$  and  $F$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $F$  be a free group, and  $L$  a subgroup of finite index in  $F$ . Then  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(L) = U(L)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be the intersection of the conjugates of  $L$ . Then  $G$  is still of finite index in  $F$  and is of course normal. Then, by Lemma 1,  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(G) = U(G)$  and  $\text{Div}_{U(L)}(G) = U(G)$ .

Let  $p$  be the universal specialization  $p: U(L) \rightarrow \text{Div}_{U(F)}(L)$ . Since a full  $KG$ -matrix inverts over  $U(G)$  it inverts over both  $U(L)$  and  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(L)$ . So the entries of inverses of full  $KG$ -matrices are in the domain  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $p$ . So  $U(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $p$  is an  $L$ -specialization, also  $L \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . So, by Lemma 2,  $U(L) = K\langle U(G), L \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $p$  is onto  $\text{Div}_{U(F)} L$ ,  $p$  is an  $L$ -isomorphism  $\text{Div}_{U(F)} L \simeq U(L)$ .

The next two lemmas allow us to go from subgroups of finite index to arbitrary finitely generated subgroups.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose the free group  $F$  is the free product  $H_1 * H_2$  of two subgroups. Then  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H_1) = U(H_1)$ .*

**Proof (P. M. Cohn).** Embed  $KH_1 *_K KH_2$  in  $U(H_1) *_K U(H_2)$ . If  $M$  is a  $KH_1$ -matrix which is full over  $KH_1$ , then  $M$  inverts over  $U(H_1)$  and hence over  $U(H_1) * U(H_2)$ . So  $M$  is full over  $KH_1 * KH_2 = KF$ . So  $M$  inverts over  $U(F)$  and hence inverts over  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H_1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup of the free group  $F$ . Then  $H$  is a free factor in a subgroup  $L$  of finite index in  $F$ .  $\square$*

A proof may be found in [6].

**Theorem 1.** *If  $H$  is a subgroup of the free group  $F$ , then  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H) = U(H)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $H$  is finitely generated, this is the contents of Lemmas 3, 4 and 5. Let now  $H$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $F$ , and let  $M$  be a  $KH$ -matrix which is full over  $KH$ . Then there is a finitely generated subgroup  $H'$  of  $H$  such that  $M$  is a matrix over  $KH'$ .  $M$  is still full over  $KH'$ . Thus, by the finitely generated case,  $M$  inverts over  $U(F)$ , and hence over  $\text{Div}_{U(F)}(H)$ .  $\square$

Recall that a group  $G$  is indexed at  $(H, t)$  if  $H$  is normal in  $G$  and  $G/H$  is the infinite cyclic group generated by the coset  $tH$ . Let  $F$  be a free group and  $KF \subset D$  an embedding of  $KF$  in a field. I. Hughes [5] makes the following definition: the embedding  $KF \subset D$  is free if for any finitely generated subgroup  $G$  of  $F$ , and indexing  $(H, t)$  of  $G$ , then the powers of  $t$  are left  $\text{Div}_D(KH)$ -independent. He then shows

**Hughes' theorem.** *If  $KF \subset D_1$ ,  $KF \subset D_2$  are two free embeddings of  $KF$  then there is a  $KF$ -isomorphism  $\text{Div}_{D_1}(KF) \approx \text{Div}_{D_2}(KF)$ .*  $\square$

**Proposition 6.**  *$KF \subset U(F)$  is a Hughes-free embedding.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $F$ ,  $(H, t)$  an indexing of  $G$ . Since  $H$  is normal in  $G$ , conjugation by  $t$  induces an automorphism  $\tau$  of  $U(H) \subseteq U(F)$ . Form the skew Laurent polynomial ring  $P = U(H)[z, z^{-1}]$  with the commutation rule  $dz = z(d\tau)$ . Then  $P$  is an Ore domain with quotient field  $D$ . Since  $P$  is a principal ideal domain, the embedding  $P \subset D$  is fully inverting so that  $D = U(P)$ .

Now we have a homomorphism  $\varphi: P \rightarrow K\langle U(H), t, t^{-1} \rangle$  which maps  $z$  to  $t$  and hence, composing with the inclusion  $K\langle U(H), t, t^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow U(G)$ , a homomorphism  $h: P \rightarrow U(G)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U(G) & \xleftarrow{\quad p \quad} & U(P) \\
 \cup & \nwarrow h & \cup \\
 K\langle U(H), t, t^{-1} \rangle & \xleftarrow{\quad} & P \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 U(H) & \xleftarrow{\quad 1 \quad} & U(H)
 \end{array}$$

$h$  then extends to a specialization  $p: U(P) \rightarrow U(G)$ . But, identifying  $KG = K\langle H, t, t^{-1} \rangle$  with  $K\langle H, z, z^{-1} \rangle$ ,  $p$  is a  $KG$ -specialization. Since  $U(G)$  is fully inverting for  $KG$ , so is  $U(P)$  (Cohn [3, Theorem 2.3, p. 257]). Hence  $U(G)$  and  $U(P)$  are  $KG$  isomorphic. Clearly, a  $KG$ -isomorphism is the identity on  $H$ , and hence maps  $U(H)$  onto itself. Since  $\{z^i\}$  is left  $U(H)$  independent,  $\{t^i\}$  is left  $U(H)$  independent and the proposition is proved.

**III. A series representation and applications.** We first note that if  $R = K\langle X \rangle$  is the free  $K$ -algebra on a set  $X$  and  $F$  is the free group on  $X$ , then  $U(R) = U(F)$ ;

since  $x \in X$  has an inverse in  $U(R)$ , there is a homomorphism  $KF \rightarrow U(R)$  which is the identity on  $R$ . This homomorphism extends to a specialization  $p: U(F) \rightarrow U(R)$ . So every matrix over  $R$  which inverts over  $U(R)$  inverts over  $U(F)$ , i.e. every full  $R$ -matrix inverts over  $U(F)$ . Since  $U(F)$  is generated by  $R$ ,  $p$  is an isomorphism.

Recall the Mal'cev-Neumann method for embedding a free group algebra  $KF$  in a field [10]: order  $F$  and let  $D$  be the set of formal series over  $F$ , with coefficients in  $K$ , whose support is well ordered. If  $0 \neq p \in D$ , then  $p$  can be written uniquely as  $p = kf(1 + p')$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $f \in F$ ,  $p' = 0$  or  $p'$  with positive support. Then  $p^{-1} = (1 - p' + p'^2 - \dots)g^{-1}k^{-1}$ . Thus if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $F$ ,  $\text{Div}_D(H)$  consists of power series whose support is in  $H$ . This makes it clear that  $D$  is Hughes-free. Thus, applying Hughes' theorem and the proposition, we obtain

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $F$  be a free group on the set  $X$ ,  $R = K\langle X \rangle$  the free  $K$ -algebra on  $X$ ;  $D$  any Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of  $F$ . Then  $U(R) = U(F) \simeq \text{Div}_D(F)$ .  $\square$*

**Theorem 3.** *If  $R$  is a free algebra over the ordered (commutative) field  $K$ , then  $U(R)$  can be ordered.*

**Proof.** We need only note that a Mal'cev-Neumann field can be ordered if  $K$  can be.  $\square$

**Theorem 4 (cf. Klein [8]).** *If  $R$  is a noncommutative free  $K$ -algebra, then the center of  $U(R)$  is  $K$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be freely generated by  $X$ ,  $|X| > 1$ , and let  $F$  be the free group on  $X$ . We may consider  $U(R)$  as embedded in a Mal'cev-Neumann field for  $F$ . Let  $z = \sum_{f \in F} k_f f$  be in the center of  $U(R)$ , and say  $f_1$  is the least element in the support of  $z$ . Then, for  $x \in X$ ,  $xf_1$  and  $f_1x$  are the least elements in the supports of  $xz$  and  $zx$ . So  $f_1$  is in the center of  $F$ , i.e.  $f_1 = 1$ . So  $k_1 - z$  is again in the center of  $U(R)$ . But then  $k_1 - z = 0$  or its support consists of positive elements. This last leads to a contradiction and hence  $z \in K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be a free  $K$ -algebra,  $F$  the corresponding free group, and let  $U(R)^*$  be the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of  $U(R)$ . Then the free group  $F$  is a retract of  $U(R)^*$ .*

**Proof.** We regard  $U(R)$  as embedded in a Mal'cev-Neumann field for  $F$ . Let  $N$  be the subset of  $U(R)^*$  of elements  $k + P$ , where  $0 \neq k \in K$ , and  $P = 0$  or  $P$  has positive support. An easy calculation shows that  $N$  is normal in  $U(R)^*$ . If  $g_1, g_2$  are different elements of  $F$ , then  $g_1g_2^{-1} \neq 1$ . So  $g_1g_2^{-1} \notin N$  and thus  $g_1 \neq g_2 \pmod{N}$ . Also, if  $Q \in U(R)^*$ ,  $Q$  can be written uniquely as  $Q = gk(1 + Q')$  with  $k(1 + Q') \in N$ ,  $g \in F$ . Then  $Q = g \pmod{N}$  and  $Q \rightarrow g$  is the required retraction of  $U(R)^*$  onto  $F$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $U(R)_{ab}^*$  be the commutator factor group of  $U(R)^*$ . The projection  $U(R)^* \rightarrow U(R)_{ab}^*$  is injective on the generators of  $R$ .  $\square$*

(This provides a partial answer to problem 10 on p. 286 of [3].)

**IV. Generalized free products of free groups.** Recall from [3] the following fundamental property of free products of rings over a (skew) field  $D$ . Let  $R_1, R_2$  be  $D$ -rings and let  $\{1\} \cup S_i$  be a left  $D$ -basis for  $R_i$ . Then the monomials on  $S_1 \cup S_2$ , no two successive letters of which are in the same factor, form, together with 1, a left  $D$ -basis for the free product  $R_1 *_D R_2$ .

**Theorem 6** (cf. [1, Corollary 3.1], [7, Theorem 9], [9]). *Let  $F_1, F_2$  be two free groups with a common subgroup  $H$  and let  $G$  be the generalized free product  $F_1 *_H F_2$ . Then the group algebra  $KG$  can be embedded in a field.*

**Proof.** If  $\{1\} \cup S_i$  is a left transversal for  $H$  in  $F_i$  then it is clear by looking in Mal'cev-Neumann fields that  $\{1\} \cup S_i$  is a left  $\text{Div}_{U(F_i)}(H)$ -independent set. Further there are  $KH$  isomorphisms  $\text{Div}_{U(F_1)}(H) \simeq \text{Div}_{U(F_2)}(H) \simeq U(H)$ . These observations and the remark preceding the theorem show that the free product  $R = U(F_1) *_U U(F_2)$  makes sense and embeds  $KG$ . But  $R$  is a free ideal ring [2] and so has a universal field of fractions  $U(R)$ . Thus  $KG \subseteq U(R)$ .  $\square$

Unfortunately,  $U(R)$  need not be fully inverting for  $KG$ . Indeed  $KG$  need not have a fully inverting embedding. For Dunwoody [4] has shown that if  $G = \langle a, b; a^2 = b^3 \rangle$ , then  $KG$  has a nonfree finitely generated projective module  $P$ . Such a ring has a full matrix which is not invertible in any field which embeds it: let  $M$  be a free module of least rank such that  $M = P_1 \oplus P$ . The projection  $M \rightarrow P$  is given by an idempotent matrix  $\mu$  which is not the identity. Thus  $\mu$  does not become invertible in any overfield. However,  $\mu$  is full. For otherwise  $P \subseteq N$ , a submodule of  $M$  with fewer generators. Since  $P$  is a direct summand of  $M$ , it is a direct summand of  $N$ , and hence needs fewer generators than  $M$ , contradicting the minimality of the rank of  $M$ .

**V. An example.** We now construct a nonfree embedding of a free group algebra in a field.

Let  $F$  be the free group  $F_1 * F_2$  where  $F_1$  is free on  $z$  and  $F_2$  is free on  $x$  and  $w$ . We embed  $kF_i$  in  $R_i = U(F_i)$ . In  $R_1$  we choose a  $K$ -basis  $\{1\} \cup S_1$  such that  $\{(1+z)^{-1}, z^i; i = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\} \subset S_1$  and in  $R_2$  we choose a  $K$ -basis  $\{1\} \cup S_2$  with  $F_2 \setminus \{1\} \subset S_2$ . Let  $b = (1+z)^{-1}$ . In  $R = R_1 *_K R_2$  let  $T'$  be the set of elements  $r = f_1 b f_2 b \cdots f_n b f_{n+1}$  where  $f_i \in F$  is a reduced word which neither begins or ends with  $z^{\pm 1}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ ,  $f_1 = 1$  or  $f_1$  does not end in  $z^{\pm 1}$ ,  $f_2 = 1$  or  $f_2$  does not begin with  $z^{\pm 1}$ . We extend the length function  $l$  of  $F$  to a length function on  $T = T' \cup F \setminus \{1\}$  by declaring  $l(r) = n + \sum_{i=1}^n l(f_i)$ . It is clear that a set of elements of  $T$  that are spelled differently is left  $K$ -independent. We embed  $R$  in its universal field  $U(R)$ . In  $U(R)$  we may choose a basis  $\{1\} \cup S$  with  $T \subset S$ . Let  $u = bw(1+x)$ , and let  $Q = \text{Div}_{U(R)}(k[u])$ . We claim that in  $U(R)$  the set  $F$  is left  $Q$ -independent.

We first note that  $Q$  is the field of right quotients of  $k[u]$  so that a set is left  $Q$ -independent if and only if it is  $k[u]$ -independent. So we need only show that  $F$  is left  $k[u]$ -independent. We note next that  $bw$  and  $bw x$  freely generate a free subalgebra of  $U(R)$ . Let then  $f_\alpha$  be distinct elements of  $F$  and suppose that there are polynomials  $p_\alpha(u)$ , not all zero with  $\sum p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha = 0$ . Choose  $\alpha$  such that  $p_\alpha(u)$  has maximal  $u$  degree, say  $n$ , and  $f_\alpha$  has maximal length among the  $f_\beta$  for which  $p_\beta(u)$  has degree  $n$ . Two cases arise.

1.  $f_\alpha$  does not begin with  $x^{-1}$ . Then  $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$  gives rise to a term  $t = (bw x)^{n-1}bw x f_\alpha$ . This term has length  $4n + l(f_\alpha)$  and has degree  $n$  on  $b$ . Also it is clear that this length is maximal among the monomials in the expansion of  $\sum p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$  which have degree  $n$  on  $b$ . So since the sum vanishes, for some  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ,  $p_\beta(u)$  has degree  $n$  and the term  $t$  also appears in the expansion of  $u^n f_\beta$ . Since  $f_\alpha$  had maximal length, this forces  $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ , a contradiction.

2. We may then assume that  $f_\alpha$  starts with  $x^{-1}$ . Now  $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$  gives rise to a term  $(bw x)^{n-1}bw f_\alpha$  of length  $4n + l(f_\alpha) - 1$ , and this is the only term of this length in the expansion of  $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$  (since all other terms in the expansion of  $p_\alpha(u)f_\alpha$  either end with  $x$  or are too short). It is again easy to see that this implies that  $f_\beta = f_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and this contradiction proves the claim.

We now provide ourselves with another copy  $U(R)'$  of  $U(R)$  and consider the free product  $V = U(R) * U(R)'$  amalgamating  $Q$  with  $Q'$ . Then the group words on the letters  $z, x, w, z', x', w'$  are left  $Q$ -independent, and hence  $K$ -independent. Thus the group  $G$  generated by these letters is free on them and the  $K$ -algebra generated by  $G$  in  $U(V)$  is the group algebra  $KG$ . Now  $V$  is still a free ideal ring [2] and hence we may embed  $V$  in  $U(V)$ . Clearly  $G$  generates  $U(V)$  qua skew fields. However, in  $U(V)$ ,

$$(1+z)^{-1}w(1+x) = (1+z')^{-1}w'(1+x')$$

so that

$$x = w^{-1}(1+z)(1+z')^{-1}w'(1+x') - 1.$$

Hence  $\text{Div}(gp(x, z, w, x', z', w')) = \text{Div}(gp(z, w, x', z', w'))$  and  $U(V)$  does not distinguish  $G$  from a free factor of  $G$ . However, it is clear by looking in a Mal'cev-Neumann embedding of  $KG$  that if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are distinct subgroups of  $G$ , then  $\text{Div}_{U(G)}(H_1) \neq \text{Div}_{U(G)}(H_2)$ . Thus  $G \subseteq U(V)$  is not a free embedding.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Baumslag, *Positive one relator groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 165–183. MR **43** #325.
2. P. M. Cohn, *Free ideal rings*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 47–69; correction *ibid.* **6** (1967), 410. MR **28** #5095; **35** #214.
3. ———, *Free rings and their relations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971.
4. M. Dunwoody, *Relation modules*, Soc. **4** (1972), 151–155.

5. I. Hughes, *Division rings of fractions for group rings*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 181–188. MR **41** #8533.
6. A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *On finitely generated subgroups of a free group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 209–213. MR **39** #6961.
7. ———, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 227–255. MR **41** #5499.
8. A. Klein, *Involutorial division rings with arbitrary center*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 38–42.
9. J. Lewin, *A note on zero divisors in group rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 357–359.
10. B. H. Neumann, *On ordered division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1949), 202–252. MR **11**, 311.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210